IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 8325 of 2005

Between:

R.Ramakrishna, S/o. Lakshmanaidu,
KTPS-V Paloncha, Quarter No.E-623,
R/o. A.P.Genco Housing Colony, SIIL Campus, Old Paloncha, Khammam Dist.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The A.P.Power Generation Corp., Ltd., rep. by its Managing Director,
Vidyutsoudha, Hyderabad-82.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue any appropriate order, or direction or writ more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent in not considering and disposing of the petitioners representations dt.06-10-2004 & 25-02-2005 and revision petition dt.28-02-2005 as arbitrary, illegal and violative of Article 14, 16 & 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representations dt.06-10-2004 & 25-02-2005 and revision petition dt.28-02-2005 for restoration of the petitioner's seniority as A.E. and promotion of the petitioner as A.D.E. on par with his juniors.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.ANDE RAVI KUMAR , Advocate

Counsel for the Respondent: SMTE.URMILA (S.C. for A.P. Genco)

The Court, at the admission stage, made the following :

ORAL ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a Mandamus to declare the action of the respondent in not considering and disposing of the petitioner's representations, dated 6.10.2004 and 25.2.2005 and Revision Petition, dated 28.2.2005 as illegal, arbitrary and in violation of Articles 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel for the respondent and perused the material on record.

The petitioner gave representations for restoration of his seniority as Assistant Engineer and his promotion as Assistant Divisional Engineer on par with his junior. Appropriate decision has to be taken by the respondent in accordance with the rules and regulations.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I consider it appropriate to direct the respondent to take appropriate decision on the representations of the petitioner in accordance with the rules and regulations of the respondent-Corporation, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is disposed of in terms of the above direction. In the circumstances of the case there shall be no order as to costs.

_____

(K.C. BHANU, J.)

15th April, 2005

bcj

To

1 The A.P.Power Generation Corp., Ltd., rep. by its Managing Director, Vidyutsoudha, Hyderabad-82.

2. Two C.D. copies